

# An Approach to Enhance Text Categorization through Shrinkage in a Hierarchy of Modules

**Takudzwa Fadziso**

Institute of Lifelong Learning and Development Studies, Chinhoyi University of Technology, ZIMBABWE

\*Corresponding Contact:

Email: [fadziso.tak@gmail.com](mailto:fadziso.tak@gmail.com)

Manuscript Received: 01 Oct 2019 - Revised: 11 Nov 2019 - Accepted: 28 Nov 2019

## ABSTRACT

Most organizations carried out their activities by design and develop a large volume of programmed documents as an essential element of their external and internal performance. When documents are well-known in a large volume of subject matter classification, the classifications are frequently prepared in order. Newsgroup and yahoo databases are two cases studied. This article indicates that the precision of a naïve Bayes text classifier can be importantly enhanced by taking benefit of a hierarchy of categories. A statistical approach known as shrinkage was adopted that levels variable prediction of a data-sparse child with its blood relation in direction to acquire more vigorous variable predictions. The test results on 3 real-time datasets from Yahoo, UseNet, and shared webpages display enhanced performance with about 29% error reduction over the customarily flat classifier.

**Keywords:** Text Categorization, Shrinkage, Naïve Bayes, Hierarchy of modules

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) license lets others remix, tweak, and build upon work non-commercially, and although the new works must also acknowledge & be non-commercial.



## INTRODUCTION

Of recent most firms carried out most of their doings automatically and create a large volume of automatic documents as an integral component of their external and internal doings. This action has greatly prioritized World Wide Web, and this has immensely increased the volume of online text growth, the development of techniques for computerizing classifying this text turn out to be more crucial. A number several pieces of literature have exemplified the attainment of statistical methods for learning to categorize text IDs (Koller and Sahami, 1997; Joachims, 1997; Nigam et al., 1998). These techniques such as Naïve Bayes (Lewis and Ringnette, 1994) and TFIDF (Salton, 1991), usually characterize documents as trajectories of words, as well as learn by assembling statistics from the experimental occurrences of those words in the documents be in the right place to several categories. Because they depend on these cultured word statistics, these algorithms are data demanding; they frequently demand a large volume of hand-docketed working out documents per class to attain great ordering precision (Vadlamudi, 2017).

Users are faced with several technical hitches to find info within these large volumes of documents devoid of some class of text categorization. However, text categorization can be defined as a practice of electronically allocating one or more predefined classes to text documents. Research that involved text classification focuses more on flat categorization – here the predefined classes are treated in extraction and there is no system outlining the rapport among them (Yang, 1999). More so, when the number of classes grows to an importantly large volume, or when the amount of documents in respective class ranges a large volume, the info overloading technical hitch play out once again but this time in the class level (Paruchuri & Asadullah, 2018). For instance, when several thousands of classes in the Yahoo order are drawn into a flat cosmos, it will demand a substantial number of time to find the classes for searching and browsing. By establishing the classes in order, Yahoo has made it stress-free to look for info.

### **Statement of Problem**

The emergence of big data comes with challenges of finding information within a large volume of documents when searching or browsing the web. However, text classification help in unifying a large volume of subject matter modules, the modules are frequently sorted in an order (Paruchuri, 2017). This classification is achieved by employing a contraction algorithm called Shrinkage which enhances projects of variables that would be otherwise be uncertain as a result to reduce the number of worked-out data (Ganapathy, 2017).

### **The Objectives of the Study**

This article concentrates on the problem of in what way to leveling up these statistical knowledge algorithms called shrinkage to tasks with a large volume of modules and sparse training data per module. We document an approach that leverages these commonly available subject matter orders to vitally enhance categorization precision, particularly when the order is large and working out data for the respective module is sparse. Also, we report a technique for exponentially decreasing the volume of calculation to require for categorization while forfeiting only a small number of exactness.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

This section will review major routine measures for ordered categorization techniques, which should however please the criteria below:

- The routine processes should be normal extra time for those utilized in flat categorization. This will provide some constancy to their significance and application.
- The noel routine processes should apply the info approved by the order, such as the associations amid classes, as such associations influence the efficiency of ordered categorization from the operator viewpoint.

In “top-down level-oriented ordered” categorization approaches, other than a single classifier will be demanded, and the act of a classifier may influence the others. The ultimate decision of which class or classes ought to be allocated to a document is produced by a sequence of classifiers allocated in a “Top-down” way in various forms. Any inactive classifier in this sequence will result in “pitiable performance of the classifiers” at the lower heights. Such low activity classifier is however an act of tailback (EePeng et al., 2003).

Although there is a dearth in common order categorization and its capacity measurement structure. This could be as a result of the ordered categorization questions is still fresh in

the field of technology. So far, few ordered categorization approaches have been recommended. Furthermost investigations had been piloted utilizing several datasets underneath diverse norms concerning the sort configuration. We consequently review these diverse classified taxonomy approaches as well as their performance depth trials.

### Big-Bang Method

Here a document could be categorized into any classes in the tree classification employing a single category phase. Labrou and Finin (1999) proposed a “Rocchio-like classifier” to pigeonhole documents into a class DAG. For respective classes, a prejudiced direction usually referred to as classification profile is generated from the classification name, the designations, and undersized descriptions of working out documents beneath the class. The comparison amid the experiment documents and classes will then be calculated as the cosine of the document vectors and the prejudiced class vectors. Utilizing this categorization approach, each document is allotted to a single class. On the contrarily, Sasaki and Kita (1998) employed a regulation learning algorithm called repeated incremental pruning to produce error reduction (RIPPER) developed by Cohen in 1995 to carry out ordered categorization. The set configuration utilized is a computer-generated classification tree. In this technique, a class of instructions is first created according to the working-out documents. Respective regulation designates, for a grouping, the word(s) that has to give the idea in a document before the document can be allocated to it. This cataloging technique, once more, allocates only one group to the respective document.

The techniques practiced by both Labrou and Finin (1999) and Sasaki and Kita (1998) buttresses the performance procedures in their testing have been very much according to merely pragmatic opinions of the amount of appropriately categorized documents or the measurement of incorrectly categorized documents. These procedures are not wide-ranging sufficient to regulate the activities of diverse ordered ordering approaches. They are also mismatched with the typical methods for flat cataloging. Wang proposes a novel ordered categorization approach built upon relationship regulation extraction (Wang et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2001). Wang approach is the same technique Sasaki and Kita – this approach is capable to create guidelines to categorize documents into a classification tree (not a computerized-generated tree) by investigating the topographies in the documents into a classification guideline of the system  $\{t_{i1}, \dots, t_{in}\}$ ,  $\{C_{i1}, \dots, C_{iq}\}$  from working out documents as well as making use of the systems to experiment documents. In the above system format,  $t_{ii}$  and  $C_{ik}$  signify indexed terms and class in that order.

Wang’s ordered categorization approach provides many classes to be allotted to a document. The testing has also been piloted to find out the performance of the recommended technique. The performance extent application categorization error represents the measurement of documents that have been mistakenly categorized.

Toutanova et al. (2001) advances the regular “Naïve Bayes classifier” and recommended an ordered blend pattern. The ordered of subject matters are utilized to offer predicates for category qualified term prospects and to obtain a differentiation of words in the ordered based on their phase of broad view or specificity. The interior nodes of the order signify perception altitudes with their equivalent vocabulary. Respective word in a text is expected to be spawned from perception altitude on the trajectory from the text category node to the root. Toutanova and his co-authors investigated their model on the 2 computer-generated trees designed from twenty Reuters and Newsgroups – 21577 respectively. The test results informed a micro-averaged exactness, recollecting, and F1

actions. Toutanova's model was likened to the ordered contraction pattern suggested by McCallum et al. (1998), the ordered blend model gives rise to better results on Newsgroup when a narrow amount of working out documents are specified.

### Top-Down Phase-Oriented Classification

Here the categorization is attained with the support of all classifiers put together at the respective phase of the classification tree. To that extent, there are one or more classifiers at the respective phase. The experimented document is initially classified at the source grouping of the order. On the other hand, at the moment it is classified into classes at the subsequent phase. This method will continue until the document reaches leaf class or classes or inner class or classes at which the text cannot be additional classified. D'Alessio et al. (2000) defined classes by features generated from the working out text utilizing an algorithm called automatic categorization for full-text documents (ACTION). At respective class, a dualistic or 'one-of M (m-ary) classifier' is utilized to define whether a document ought to be the place to the class or one of its clusters. Three performance procedures that are exactness, reminiscence, and F-measure have been utilized in their tests. It is worthy to note that these are part of the typical ones for flat categorization. Also, Koller and Sahami (1997) buttressed 3 classification trees that are mined from the Reuters group for some chosen class markers. These classification trees are of the loftiness of two. An ordered categorization technique utilizing many Bayesian classifiers was pragmatic on these 3 classification trees. Respective experiment document is accepted to the 1<sup>st</sup> phase classifiers prior to the ones at the 2<sup>nd</sup> phase. The document would be allotted to the child class only when both the parental and child classifiers take it. It was given away that this technique out-performs that for flat categorization as soon as there is only a lesser volume of structures chosen for the respective class (Ganapathy, 2018). When a large volume of structures is chosen, the performance of the ordered categorization technique is likened to that of the flat classification. The application of support vector machine (SVM) classifiers to categorize web pages into a computer-generated classification tree utilizing top-down phase-oriented method (Dumais and Chen, 2000). Dumais and co-author's approach permits a web page to be allotted to a child class even if the previous is not favored by the parent class. The class measure utilized is a computer-generated classification tree with profundity acquired from Look Smart's web directory. As the order is a computer-generated classification tree, only leaf classes can hold documents. In their tests, the support vector machine classifiers are used in both the ordered categorization and flat categorization. It was discovered that the performance of the ordered categorization technique delights in a better exactness. The performance procedure utilized in the tests was F-measure. The challenge of blocking was talk about in the article, but there was no procedure distinct to examine the degree of blocking (Vadlamudi, 2015).

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

For us to achieve the goal of this article, which is concentrating on the problem of in what way to leveling up these statistical knowledge algorithms called shrinkage to tasks with a large volume of modules and sparse training data per module. We document an approach that leverages these commonly available subject matter orders to vitally enhance categorization precision, particularly when the order is large and working out data for the respective module is sparse (Ganapathy & Neogy, 2017). Also, we report a technique for exponentially decreasing the volume of calculation to require for categorization while forfeiting only a small number of exactness. We adopted a review of some possible approaches of enhancing the projects of the model variables by making use of the order. In

the next section, shrinkage will be evaluated briefly and also debates its presentation: to text categorization in the order, and the mechanism of the algorithms. We anticipate to project variables  $\emptyset_1, \dots, \emptyset/c$  that is respective category's possibility circulate more than words, and for respective variable  $\emptyset_j$  acting as projection. The projectors can frequently be enhanced by shrinking respective of them in the direction of some shared quality. The latest concise shrinkage was emphasized by Carlin and Louis (1996). They presented 2 validations for shrinkage. First, if the entities  $\emptyset_1, \dots, \emptyset/c$  are assumed to be the same, then they are considered as draws from mutual dissemination. In this scenario, the shrinkage or contraction predictor is merely the Bayes assessment. More unexpectedly, even if the measures are dissimilar, and even other, shrinkage predictors still decrease the jeopardy of the predictors. Stein (1995) and James and Stein (1961) discovered bottomlessly and stand instinctive fact.

Shrinkage is utilized to boost the prediction of word probability given a category,  $\emptyset_{ji}$ . An enhanced prediction for the respective leaf to the origin (root) is then run-down by "shrinkage" its maximum livelihood prediction concerning the maximum livelihood predictions of all its dynasties, specifically, those predictions initiate beside the pathway from the leaf to the root. In statistical language pattern terms, a unigram pattern is built for the respective node in the tree, and suave respective leaf pattern by linearly inserting it with all the patterns found beside the trail to the root (McCallum et al., 1998). The predictions beside a pathway from the leaf to the root signify a tradeoff amid relatively and particularly. The prediction at the leaf is the greatest particular (greatest relevant, least prejudiced) since it is according to data from that subject matter only. Hence, it is less reliable, since it is commonly established on the lowest quantity of data. The predictor at the root is the most dependable, but the least unambiguous (McCallum et al., 1998). Since root comprises a finite volume of data, it might predict some sporadic words variably. The classification tree is for addition, away from the root, the homogeneous prediction (Vadlamudi, 2016). All thanks to later that eliminate the demand to smooth the individual maximum livelihood predictions. To make sure that the maximum livelihood predict beside an assumed pathway are independent, hence, each child's data is subtracted from the parent's prior to commutating the parent's maximum livelihood prediction. However, the last prediction is according to the data belonging to all the family members of the said child, on the other hand not to the child itself. It is worthy to note that by this method, irrespective of the path followed from the leaf to the root, all data in the classification tree is utilized accuracy to the maximum livelihood approximations, offering both individualities amid the approximations and well-organized application of the working out data (Eepeng et al., 2003).

Assuming a group of data of maximum probability approximations beside the pathway from the top to the bottom, and beyond it to the homogeneous approximation, in what way do weights for insertion (blend) can be decided? Given  $\{\emptyset_j^1, \emptyset_j^2, \dots, \emptyset_j^k\}$  be  $k$  such approximations, where  $\emptyset_j^0 = \emptyset_j$  is the prediction at the leaf, and  $k-1$  is the depth of class  $c_j$  in the tree. We develop empirically optimal weights,  $\lambda_j^1$  amid the dynasties of  $c_j$ , by discovering the weights that make the most of the probability of some previously unobserved "held-out" data. We based our prediction on the probability of data based on the blended model is a curving task of the weights (this falls out of Jensen's inequality), and thus accomplishes a single overall determined. This algorithm can be seen as a predominantly unassuming form of EM according to Dempster et al. (1977), where respective data is given to have been created by first selecting one of the classification tree nodes in the pathway to the root, assuming  $\emptyset_j^i$  (with livelihood  $\lambda_j^i$ ), then utilizing that prediction to create the data. EM then maximizes the total probability when the choices of predictions produced for the different data are unidentified. The first step in repetition is "E" and the second one is "M".

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section discusses the empirical fact on how shrinkage enhances text categorization by reducing error to about 29%. We also present how shrinkage supports most when working out per group data is sparse and the volume of categories is large. Lastly, we illustrate the dynamic pruning the tree exponentially decreases the calculation period, at a minimal loss of exactness. The test is based on 3 diverse real-time datasets, one comprising of UseNet papers and two web pages. The results are in the region of 10 cross authentication tests.

### Hierarchical Categorization enhances exactness

No restricted credit is assumed for categorization into a fellow citizens of the true category (Vadlamudi, 2018). Above all, it is observed that larger word sizes usually perform better; this is consistent with the last outcomes of the Naïve Bayes on different other datasets (Joachims, 1997; Nigam et al., 1998). Secondly, it was observed that ordered feature selection to an extent enhances the doing of flat naïve Bayes in the middle of feature choosing at about five thousand words, customary, flat potential variety acquires 59% exactness, while ordered feature pick out ranges to 64%. Thirdly, which is the most essential aspect of the result is that it was observed that shrinkage enhances categorization exactness across the board, making the largest advancement at the full, unpruned word size, where it achieves 76% exactness. When comparing the result of this model to flat feature or categorization gets to its best performance of about 66% at approximately about ten thousand. The disparity observed here is about a 29% decrease in text categorization error. We affirmed that stumpy occurrence words fund prominently right categorization and that shrinkage supports variation reduction of the prediction of larger variable space that results from the larger words.

### Shrinkage benefits more when working out data is sparse

The tested result exhibits that exactness in this domain is greater with no feature choice, for both ordered and flat classifiers, even with an insignificant volume of working out data. It was observed that ordered modeling contributed less to the enhancement of this data group when compared to the effect observed in the industry sector data group (Paruchuri, 2018). This non-performance can be attributed to the insignificant reduction branch-out variable in this slighter order. Unlike the industry sector order, in which the average number of relatives is 6, but in the newsgroup data group, the average number of relatives or family members is 3. Hence, the respective child having less data with which to get support in terms of strength. On the other hand, shrinkage offers more benefit when the number or volume of working out data is less, and that shrinkage decreases discrepancy in the categorizations that are observed in larger error bars on the flat categorization curve. If the respective group had an immeasurable volume of working out data, the exact variable prediction could be obtained for the respective group autonomously. Moreover, when working out data is sparse, predicts are enhanced by employing shrinkage to smooth a group's variable with its dynasties.

This result confirmed the yahoo data group. Paramount presentation for classified and flat categorization is about equivalent (Ganapathy, 2016). On the other hand, between the fifty-one groups with more than 50 workings out documents, shrinkage provides a 6% enhancement in exactness—from 39% for flat, to 45% for classified that is respective at their best performing word size. This as a result of the evidence that shrinkage was executed utilizing linear mapping that is only best underneath convinced situations that are not gratified here; we rely on the enactment of shrinkage would be enhanced on these data-weighted groups by utilizing more multifaceted customary Bayes or Empirical Bayes

methods as deliberated in Carlin and Louis (1996). Because the majority of the working out and experimenting documents fall into a few groups, exactness means overall analysis documents are not enhanced by shrinkage.

### Pruning the classification tree for improved computational productivity

In an attempt to improved exactness, the group classifies can in addition be leveraged to increase computational productivity. The hierarchy can circumvent calculating  $P(c_i)(d_j)$  for the majority of the groups by pruning the classification tree vigorously during the categorization of the respective document. Similar to the *Pachinko Machine* (Koller and Sahami, 1997) we then categorize the document at internal nodes of the tree and select only to compute probabilities for groups under the branches chosen by these coarse-grained, higher-level classifiers. Our result exhibits better performance when the classification tree was pruned, keeping 2 branches work out of 74.3%, and pruning to 3 branches yield 75.2%.

## CONCLUSION

This article investigated the use of group categories to increase text categorization. As the volume of web text upsurges and the number of subject matter classes in which it is well-arranged grows, classified are fetching a progressively predominant manner to make a collection of classes manageable, hence, the requirement for good text categorization algorithms that take merit of these orders develops more significant. This article also illustrates the shrinkage with class order increases variable prediction, and can reduce text categorization to about 29%. This is a result of shrinkage helping particularly when there is sparse working out data, shrinkage should be all the more beneficial as the scale become larger, greater resolution, deeper orders with more categories that need larger words or vocabularies. The enhancements as a result of shrinkage should be in addition be improving strongly as we migrate away from mere naïve Bayes hierarchies and on to more livelihood.

## REFERENCES

- Carlin, B. and Louis, T. (1996). Bayes and Empirical Bayes Methods for Data Analysis. Chapman and Hall.
- Cohen, W. W. (1995). Fast effective rule induction, in 'International Conference on Machine Learning', pp. 115–123.
- D'Alessio, S., Murray, K., Schiaffino, R. & Kershenbaum, A. (2000). The effect of using hierarchical classifiers in text categorization, in 'Proc. of the 6th Int. Conf. "Recherche d'Information Assistee par Ordinateur"', Paris, FR, pp. 302–313.
- Dempster, AP., Laird, NM., and Rubin, DB. (1977). Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 39:1-38.
- Dumais, S. T. & Chen, H. (2000). Hierarchical classification of Web content, in 'Proc. of the 23rd ACM Int. Conf. on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR)', Athens, GR, pp. 256–263.
- EePeng, LIM., Aixin, SUN. and Wee-Keong, NG. (2003). Performance measurement framework for hierarchical text classification. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*. 54, (11), 1014-1028. Research Collection School Of Information Systems. Available at: [https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sis\\_research/166](https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sis_research/166)
- Ganapathy, A. (2016). Speech Emotion Recognition Using Deep Learning Techniques. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, 5(2), 113-122. <https://doi.org/10.18034/abcjar.v5i2.550>
- Ganapathy, A. (2017). Friendly URLs in the CMS and Power of Global Ranking with Crawlers with Added Security. *Engineering International*, 5(2), 87-96. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ei.v5i2.541>
- Ganapathy, A. (2018). Cascading Cache Layer in Content Management System. *Asian Business Review*, 8(3), 177-182. <https://doi.org/10.18034/abr.v8i3.542>

- Ganapathy, A., & Neogy, T. K. (2017). Artificial Intelligence Price Emulator: A Study on Cryptocurrency. *Global Disclosure of Economics and Business*, 6(2), 115-122. <https://doi.org/10.18034/gdeb.v6i2.558>
- James, W. and Stein, C. (1961). Estimation with quadratic loss. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability 1*. Pp. 361-379. University of California Press.
- Joachims, T. (1997). A probabilistic analysis of the Rocchio algorithm with TFIDF for text categorization. *In International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*
- Koller, D. & Sahami, M. (1997). Hierarchically classifying documents using very few words, in 'Proc. of the 14<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Machine Learning. Nashville, US, pp. 170-178.
- Labrou, Y. & Finin, T. W. (1999). Yahoo! as an ontology: Using Yahoo! categories to describe documents, in 'Proc. of the 8th Int. Conf. on Information Knowledge Management. Kansas City, MO, pp. 180-187.
- Lewis, D. and Ringuette, M. (1994). A comparison of two learning algorithms for text categorization. In *Third Annual Symposium on Document Analysis and Information Retrieval*. Pp. 81-93.
- McCallum, A. K. & Nigam, K. (1998). A comparison of event models for Naïve Bayes text classification, in 'Proc. of the Workshop on Text Categorization (AAAI98)', Madison, WI, pp. 41-48.
- McCallum, A. K., Rosenfeld, R., Mitchell, T. M. & Ng, A. Y. (1998). Improving text classification by shrinkage in a hierarchy of classes, in 'Proc. of the 15th Int. Conf. on Machine Learning', Madison, US, 359-367.
- Nigam, K., McCallum, A., Thrun, S. and Mitchell, T. (1998). Learning to classify text from labeled and unlabeled documents. In Submitted to AAI-98. <http://www.cs.cinn.edu/~mccallum>.
- Paruchuri, H. (2017). Credit Card Fraud Detection using Machine Learning: A Systematic Literature Review. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, 6(2), 113-120. <https://doi.org/10.18034/abcjar.v6i2.547>
- Paruchuri, H. (2018). AI Health Check Monitoring and Managing Content Up and Data in CMS World. *Malaysian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 5(2), 141-146. <https://doi.org/10.18034/mjmb.v5i2.554>
- Paruchuri, H., & Asadullah, A. (2018). The Effect of Emotional Intelligence on the Diversity Climate and Innovation Capabilities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Energy and Environment*, 5(2), 91-96. <https://doi.org/10.18034/apjee.v5i2.561>
- Salton, G. (1991). Developments in automatic text retrieval. *Science*, 253: 974-979.
- Sasaki, M. & Kita, K. (1998). Rule-based text categorization using hierarchical categories, in 'Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. La Jolla, US, 2827-2830.
- Stein, C. (1955). Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the mean of a multivariate normal distribution. In *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability 1*. Pp. 197-206. University of California Press.
- Toutanova, K., Chen, F., Papat, K. & Hofmann, T. (2001). Text classification in a hierarchical mixture model for small training sets, in 'Proc. of the 10th Int. Conf. on Information and Knowledge Management. Atlanta, USA, pp. 105-112.
- Vadlamudi, S. (2015). Enabling Trustworthiness in Artificial Intelligence - A Detailed Discussion. *Engineering International*, 3(2), 105-114. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ei.v3i2.519>
- Vadlamudi, S. (2016). What Impact does Internet of Things have on Project Management in Project based Firms?. *Asian Business Review*, 6(3), 179-186. <https://doi.org/10.18034/abr.v6i3.520>
- Vadlamudi, S. (2017). Stock Market Prediction using Machine Learning: A Systematic Literature Review. *American Journal of Trade and Policy*, 4(3), 123-128. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ajtp.v4i3.521>
- Vadlamudi, S. (2018). Agri-Food System and Artificial Intelligence: Reconsidering Imperishability. *Asian Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, 7(1), 33-42. Retrieved from <https://journals.abc.us.org/index.php/ajase/article/view/1192>
- Wang, K., Zhou, S. & He, Y. (2001). Hierarchical classification of real life documents, in 'Proc. of the 1st SIAM Int. Conf. on Data Mining. Chicago, USA.
- Wang, K., Zhou, S. & Liew, S. C. (1999). Building hierarchical classifiers using class proximity, in 'Proc. of the 25<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Very Large Data Bases. Edinburgh, UK, 363-374.
- Yang, Y. (1999). An evaluation of statistical approaches to text categorization. *Information Retrieval*, 1(1-2), 69-90.